

Middlesex University Research Repository

An open access repository of

Middlesex University research

<http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk>

Elmer, Jonathan ORCID logo ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5296-1987> and Sezer, Müfit
(2020) Degree bounds for modular covariants. Forum Mathematicum, 32 (4) . pp. 905-910.
ISSN 0933-7741 [Article] (doi:10.1515/forum-2019-0196)

Published version (with publisher's formatting)

This version is available at: <https://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/29163/>

Copyright:

Middlesex University Research Repository makes the University's research available electronically.

Copyright and moral rights to this work are retained by the author and/or other copyright owners unless otherwise stated. The work is supplied on the understanding that any use for commercial gain is strictly forbidden. A copy may be downloaded for personal, non-commercial, research or study without prior permission and without charge.

Works, including theses and research projects, may not be reproduced in any format or medium, or extensive quotations taken from them, or their content changed in any way, without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). They may not be sold or exploited commercially in any format or medium without the prior written permission of the copyright holder(s).

Full bibliographic details must be given when referring to, or quoting from full items including the author's name, the title of the work, publication details where relevant (place, publisher, date), pagination, and for theses or dissertations the awarding institution, the degree type awarded, and the date of the award.

If you believe that any material held in the repository infringes copyright law, please contact the Repository Team at Middlesex University via the following email address:

eprints@mdx.ac.uk

The item will be removed from the repository while any claim is being investigated.

See also repository copyright: re-use policy: <http://eprints.mdx.ac.uk/policies.html#copy>

Research Article

Jonathan Elmer* and Müfit Sezer

Degree bounds for modular covariants

<https://doi.org/10.1515/forum-2019-0196>

Received July 25, 2019; revised January 30, 2020

Abstract: Let V, W be representations of a cyclic group G of prime order p over a field \mathbb{k} of characteristic p . The module of covariants $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is the set of G -equivariant polynomial maps $V \rightarrow W$, and is a module over $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$. We give a formula for the Noether bound $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G, \mathbb{k}[V]^G)$, i.e. the minimal degree d such that $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is generated over $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ by elements of degree at most d .

Keywords: Invariant theory, modular representation, cyclic group, module of covariants, Noether bound

MSC 2010: 13A50

Communicated by: Frederick R. Cohen

1 Introduction

Let G be a finite group, \mathbb{k} a field and V, W a pair of finite-dimensional $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules. Let $\mathbb{k}[V]$ denote the symmetric algebra on the dual V^* of V and let $\mathbb{k}[V, W] = \mathbb{k}[V] \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} W$. Elements of $\mathbb{k}[V]$ represent polynomial functions $V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ and elements of $\mathbb{k}[V, W]$ represent polynomial functions $V \rightarrow W$; for $f \otimes w \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]$ the corresponding function takes v to $f(v)w$. The group G acts by algebra automorphisms on $\mathbb{k}[V]$ and hence diagonally on $\mathbb{k}[V, W]$. The fixed points $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ of this action are called covariants and represent G -equivariant polynomial functions $V \rightarrow W$. The fixed points $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ are called invariants. For $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ we define the product

$$f\phi(v) = f(v)\phi(v).$$

Then $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ is a \mathbb{k} -algebra and $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is a finite $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -module. Modules of covariants in the non-modular case ($|G| \neq 0 \in \mathbb{k}$) were studied by Chevalley [3], Shephard–Todd [10], Eagon–Hochster [7]. In the modular case far less is known, but recent work of Broer and Chuai [1] has shed some light on the subject. A systematic attempt to construct generating sets for modules of covariants when G is a cyclic group of order p was begun by the first author in [5].

Let $A = \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} A_d$ be any graded \mathbb{k} -algebra and $M = \sum_{d \geq 0} M_d$ any graded A -module, where A_d and M_d denote the d -th homogeneous components of A and M , respectively. Then the Noether bound $\beta(A)$ is defined to be the minimum degree $d > 0$ such that A is generated by the set $\{a : a \in A_k, k \leq d\}$. Similarly, $\beta(M, A)$ is defined to be the minimum degree $d > 0$ such that M is generated over A by the set $\{m : m \in M_k, k \leq d\}$, and we write $\beta(M) = \beta(M, A)$ when the context is clear.

Noether famously showed that $\beta(\mathbb{C}[V]^G) \leq |G|$ for arbitrary finite G , but computing Noether bounds in the modular case is highly nontrivial. When G is cyclic of prime order, the second author along with Fleischmann, Shank and Woodcock [6] determined the Noether bound for any $\mathbb{k}G$ -module. The purpose of this article is to find results similar to those in [6] for covariants. Our main result can be stated concisely as follows.

*Corresponding author: Jonathan Elmer, Middlesex University, The Burroughs, Hendon, London, NW4 4BT, United Kingdom, e-mail: j.elmer@mdx.ac.uk. <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5296-1987>

Müfit Sezer, Department of Mathematics, Bilkent University, Cankaya, Ankara 06800, Turkey, e-mail: sezer@fen.bilkent.edu.tr

Theorem 1. *Let G be a cyclic group of order p , \mathbb{k} a field of characteristic p , V a reduced $\mathbb{k}G$ -module and W a nontrivial indecomposable $\mathbb{k}G$ -module. Then*

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G) = \beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G)$$

unless V is indecomposable of dimension 2.

Here by *reduced* we mean that the direct sum decomposition of V contains no summands on which G acts trivially; see also remarks following Proposition 4.

2 Preliminaries

For the rest of this article, G denotes a cyclic group of order $p > 0$, and we let \mathbb{k} be a field of characteristic p . We choose a generator σ for G . Over \mathbb{k} , there are p indecomposable representations V_1, \dots, V_p and each indecomposable representation V_i is afforded by a Jordan block of size i . Note that V_p is isomorphic to the free module $\mathbb{k}G$, and this is the unique free indecomposable $\mathbb{k}G$ -module.

Let $\Delta = \sigma - 1 \in \mathbb{k}G$. We define the transfer map $\text{Tr} : \mathbb{k}[V] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[V]$ by $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \sigma^i$. Notice that we also have $\text{Tr} = \Delta^{p-1}$. Invariants that are in the image of Tr are called transfers.

Remark 2. Let e_1, \dots, e_i be an upper triangular basis for the i -dimensional indecomposable representation V_i . Then $\Delta(e_j) = e_{j-1}$ for $2 \leq j \leq i$ and $\Delta(e_1) = 0$. Therefore $\Delta^j(V_i) = 0$ for all $j \geq i$. Note that for an indecomposable module V_i we have $\Delta(V_i) \cong V_{i-1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq p$ and $\Delta(V_1) = 0$. It follows that an invariant f is in the image of the linear map $\Delta^j : \mathbb{k}[V] \rightarrow \mathbb{k}[V]$ if and only if it is a linear combination of fixed points in indecomposable modules of dimension at least $j + 1$. In particular, an invariant is in the image of the transfer map ($= \Delta^{p-1}$) if and only if it is a linear combination of fixed points of free $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules.

We assume that V and W are $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules with W indecomposable and we choose a basis w_1, \dots, w_n for W so that we have

$$\sigma w_i = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq i} (-1)^{i-j} w_j$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$. For $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ we define the *weight* of f to be the smallest positive integer d with $\Delta^d(f) = 0$. Note that $\Delta^p = (\sigma - 1)^p = 0$, so the weight of a polynomial is at most p .

A useful description of covariants is given in [5]. We include this description here for completeness.

Proposition 3 ([5, Proposition 3]). *Let $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ with weight $d \leq n$. Then*

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq d} \Delta^{j-1}(f) w_j \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G.$$

Conversely, if

$$f_1 w_1 + f_2 w_2 + \dots + f_n w_n \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G,$$

then there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ with weight $\leq n$ such that $f_j = \Delta^{j-1}(f)$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$.

For a non-zero covariant $h = f_1 w_1 + f_2 w_2 + \dots + f_n w_n$, we define the *support* of h to be the largest integer j such that $f_j \neq 0$. We denote the support of h by $s(h)$. We shall say h is a *transfer covariant* if there exists a non-negative integer k and $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ such that $f_1 = \Delta^k(f)$, $f_2 = \Delta^{k+1}(f)$, \dots , $f_{s(h)} = \Delta^{p-1}(f)$ for some $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$.

We call a homogeneous invariant in $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ indecomposable if it is not in the subalgebra of $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ generated by invariants of strictly smaller degree. Similarly, a homogeneous covariant in $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ is indecomposable if it does not lie in the submodule of $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ generated by covariants of strictly smaller degree.

3 Upper bounds

We first prove a result on decomposability of a transfer covariant. In the proof below we set $\gamma = \beta(\mathbb{k}[V], \mathbb{k}[V]^G)$.

Proposition 4. Let $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ be homogeneous and let $h = \Delta^k(f)w_1 + \Delta^{k+1}(f)w_2 + \cdots + \Delta^{p-1}(f)w_{s(h)}$ be a transfer covariant of degree $> \gamma$. Then h is decomposable.

Proof. Let g_1, \dots, g_t be a set of homogeneous polynomials of degree at most γ generating $\mathbb{k}[V]$ as a module over $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$. So we can write $f = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} q_i g_i$, where each $q_i \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G_+$ is a positive degree invariant. Since Δ^j is $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ -linear, we have $\Delta^j(f) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} q_i \Delta^j(g_i)$ for $k \leq j \leq p-1$. It follows that

$$h = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} q_i (\Delta^k(g_i)w_1 + \cdots + \Delta^{p-1}(g_i)w_{s(h)}).$$

Note that $\Delta^k(g_i)w_1 + \cdots + \Delta^{p-1}(g_i)w_{s(h)}$ is a covariant for each $1 \leq i \leq t$ by Proposition 3. We also have $q_i \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G_+$ so it follows that h is decomposable. \square

Write $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m V_{n_j}$ as a sum of indecomposable modules. Note that

$$\mathbb{k}[V \oplus V_1, W]^G = (S(V^*) \otimes S(V_1^*)) \otimes W)^G = \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G \otimes \mathbb{k}[V_1].$$

Therefore we will assume that $n_j > 1$ for all j ; such representations are called reduced. Choose a basis $\{x_{i,j} : 1 \leq i \leq n_j, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ for V^* , with respect to which we have

$$\sigma(x_{i,j}) = \begin{cases} x_{i,j} + x_{i+1,j}, & i < n_j, \\ x_{i,j}, & i = n_j. \end{cases}$$

This induces a multidegree on $\mathbb{k}[V] = \bigoplus_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^m} \mathbb{k}[V]_{\mathbf{d}}$ which is compatible with the action of G . For $1 \leq j \leq m$ we define $N_j = \prod_{k=0}^{p-1} \sigma^k x_{1,j}$, and note that the coefficient of $x_{1,j}^p$ in N_j is 1. Given any $f \in \mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}]$, we can therefore perform long division, writing

$$f = q_j N_j + r,$$

where $q_j \in \mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}]$ for all j and $r \in \mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}]$ has degree $< p$ in the variable $x_{1,j}$. This induces a vector space decomposition

$$\mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}] = N_j \mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}] \oplus B_j,$$

where B_j is the subspace of $\mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}]$ spanned by monomials with $x_{1,j}$ -degree $< p$, but the form of the action implies that B_j and its complement are $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules, so we obtain a $\mathbb{k}G$ -module decomposition. Since $\mathbb{k}[V] = \bigotimes_{j=1}^m \mathbb{k}[V_{n_j}]$, it follows that

$$\mathbb{k}[V] = N_j \mathbb{k}[V] \oplus (B_j \otimes \mathbb{k}[V']),$$

where $V' = V_{n_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_{j-1}} \oplus V_{n_{j+1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus V_{n_m}$. From this decomposition it follows that if M is a $\mathbb{k}G$ direct summand of $\mathbb{k}[V]_d$, then $N_j M$ is a $\mathbb{k}G$ direct summand of $\mathbb{k}[V]_{d+p}$ with the same isomorphism type. Further, any $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ can be written as

$$f = q N_j + r$$

with $q \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ and $r \in (B_j \otimes \mathbb{k}[V'])^G$. If in addition $\deg(f) = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m)$ with $d_j > p - n_j$, then the degree d_j homogeneous component of B_j is free by [8, 2.10] and since tensoring a module with a free (projective) module gives a free (projective) module we may further assume, by Remark 2, that r is in the image of the transfer map.

If $h = \sum_{i=1}^{s(h)} \Delta^{i-1}(f)w_i \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$, we define the multidegree of h to be that of f . Since G preserves the multidegree, this is the same as the multidegree of $\Delta^{i-1}(f)$ for all $i \leq s(h)$. Then the analogue of this result for covariants is the following:

Proposition 5. Let h be a covariant of multidegree d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m with $d_j > p - n_j$ for some j . Then there exist a covariant h_1 and a transfer covariant h_2 such that $h = N_j h_1 + h_2$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on the support $s(h)$ of h . If $s(h) = 1$, then by Proposition 3, we have that $h = f w_1$ with $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$. Then we can write $f = q N_j + \Delta^{p-1}(t)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ and $t \in \mathbb{k}[V]$. Then both $q w_1$ and $\Delta^{p-1}(t) w_1$ are covariants by Proposition 3 and therefore $h = q N_j w_1 + \Delta^{p-1}(t) w_1$ gives us the desired decomposition.

Now assume that $s(h) = k$. Then by Proposition 3 there exists $f \in \mathbb{k}[V]$ such that

$$h = fw_1 + \Delta(f)w_2 + \cdots + \Delta^{k-1}(f)w_k,$$

with $\Delta^k(f) = 0$. Since $\Delta^{k-1}(f) \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ and $d_j > p - n_j$, we can write $\Delta^{k-1}(f) = qN_j + \Delta^{p-1}(t)$ for some $q \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ and $t \in \mathbb{k}[V]$. It follows that qN_j is in the image of Δ^{k-1} . But since multiplication by N_j preserves the isomorphism type of a module, it follows that q is in the image of Δ^{k-1} . Write $q = \Delta^{k-1}(f')$ with $f' \in \mathbb{k}[V]$. Set

$$h_1 = f'w_1 + \Delta(f')w_2 + \cdots + \Delta^{k-1}(f')w_k \quad \text{and} \quad h_2 = \Delta^{p-k}(t)w_1 + \cdots + \Delta^{p-1}(t)w_k.$$

Since $\Delta^{k-1}(f') \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$, it follows that h_1 is a covariant by Proposition 3. Consider the covariant

$$h' = h - N_j h_1 - h_2.$$

Since $\Delta^{k-1}(f) = \Delta^{p-1}(t) + \Delta^{k-1}(f')N_j$, the support of h' is strictly smaller than the support of h . Moreover, h_2 is a transfer covariant and so the assertion of the proposition follows by induction. \square

We obtain the following upper bound for the Noether number of covariants:

Proposition 6. *We have $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G) \leq \max(\beta(\mathbb{k}[V], \mathbb{k}[V]^G), mp - \dim(V))$.*

Proof. Let $h \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ with degree $d > \max(\beta(\mathbb{k}[V], \mathbb{k}[V]^G), mp - \dim(V))$. Let (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m) be the multidegree of h . Then we must have $d_j > p - n_j$ for some j . Consequently, we may apply Proposition 5, writing

$$h = N_j h_1 + h_2,$$

where h_2 is a transfer covariant. Since $\deg(h_2) > \beta(\mathbb{k}[V], \mathbb{k}[V]^G)$, it follows that h_2 is decomposable by Proposition 4, and so we have shown that h is decomposable. \square

4 Lower bounds

Indecomposable transfers are one method of obtaining lower bounds for $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G)$. Recall that we have written $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m V_{n_j}$ as a sum of indecomposable modules. The analogous result for covariants is:

Lemma 7. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\Delta^{p-1}(f) \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G$ be an indecomposable homogeneous transfer. Then the transfer covariant*

$$h = \Delta^{p-n}(f)w_1 + \cdots + \Delta^{p-1}(f)w_n$$

is indecomposable.

Proof. Assume on the contrary that h is decomposable. Then there exist homogeneous $q_i \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G_+$ and $h_i \in \mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ such that $h = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} q_i h_i$. Write $h_i = h_{i,1}w_1 + \cdots + h_{i,n}w_n$ for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then we have

$$\Delta^{p-1}(f) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} q_i h_{i,n}.$$

By Proposition 3 we have $\Delta(h_{i,n-1}) = h_{i,n}$ and so $h_{i,n} \in \mathbb{k}[V]^G_+$ because $n \geq 2$. It follows that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} q_i h_{i,n}$ is a decomposition of $\Delta^{p-1}(f)$ in terms of invariants of strictly smaller degree, contradicting the indecomposability of $\Delta^{p-1}(f)$. \square

Corollary 8. *Suppose $n \geq 2$ and $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) > \max(p, mp - \dim(V))$. Then $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) \leq \beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G)$.*

Proof. By [8, Lemma 2.12], $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ is generated by the norms N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m , invariants of degree at most $mp - \dim(V)$, and transfers. Since there exists an indecomposable invariant of degree $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G)$, if the hypotheses of the corollary above hold, then $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ contains an indecomposable transfer with this degree. By Lemma 7, $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ contains a transfer covariant of degree $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G)$ which is indecomposable, from which the conclusion follows. \square

5 Main results

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1. Note that $\mathbb{k}[V, V_1]^G$ is generated over $\mathbb{k}[V]^G$ by w_1 alone, which has degree zero, and therefore $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, V_1]^G) = 0$. For this reason we assume $n \geq 2$ throughout.

Proof. Suppose first that $n_j > 3$ for some j . Then by [6, Proposition 1.1 (a)], we have

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) = m(p-1) + (p-2).$$

Since V is reduced, we have $\dim(V) \geq 2m$ and hence

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) > m(p-2) \geq mp - \dim(V).$$

Also, $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) \geq 2p-3 > p$ since $n_j \leq p$ for all j . Therefore Corollary 8 implies that $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) \leq \beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G)$. On the other hand, [6, Lemma 3.3] shows that the top degree of $\mathbb{k}[V]/\mathbb{k}[V]^G \mathbb{k}[V]$ is bounded above by $m(p-1) + (p-2)$. By the graded Nakayama Lemma it follows that $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V], \mathbb{k}[V]^G) \leq m(p-1) + (p-2)$. We have already shown that this number is at least $mp - \dim(V) + 1$, so by Proposition 6 we get that

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G) \leq m(p-1) + (p-2) = \beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G)$$

as required.

Now suppose that $n_i \leq 3$ for all i and $n_j = 3$ for some j . Then by [6, Proposition 1.1 (b)], we have

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) = m(p-1) + 1.$$

Since V is reduced, we have $\dim(V) \geq 2m$ and hence

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) > m(p-2) \geq mp - \dim(V).$$

Also $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) \geq 2p-1 > p$ provided $m \geq 2$. In that case Corollary 8 applies. If $m = 1$, then Dickson [4] has shown that $\mathbb{k}[V]^G = \mathbb{k}[x_1, x_2, x_3]^G$ is minimally generated by the invariants $x_3, x_2^2 - 2x_1x_3 - x_2x_3, N, \Delta^{p-1}(x_1^{p-1}x_2)$. It follows that $\Delta^{p-1}(x_1^{p-1}x_2)$ is an indecomposable transfer, so by Lemma 7, $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ contains an indecomposable transfer covariant of degree $p = \beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G)$. In either case we obtain

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G) \geq \beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G).$$

On the other hand, by [9, Corollary 2.8], $m(p-1) + 1$ is an upper bound for the top degree of $\mathbb{k}[V]/\mathbb{k}[V]^G$. By the same argument as before we get $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G, \mathbb{k}[V]) \leq m(p-1) + 1$. We have already shown that this number is at least $mp - \dim(V) + 1$, so by Proposition 6 we get that

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G) \leq m(p-1) + 1 = \beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G)$$

as required.

It remains to deal with the case $n_i = 2$ for all i , i.e. $V = mV_2$. We assume $m \geq 2$. In this case Campbell and Hughes [2] showed that $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) = (p-1)m$. As $\dim(V) = 2m$, we have $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) > m(p-2) = mp - \dim(V)$. If $m \geq 3$ or $m = 2$ and $p > 2$, then we have

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G) > p$$

and Corollary 8 applies. In case $m = 2 = p$, $\mathbb{k}[V]^G = \mathbb{k}[x_{1,1}, x_{2,1}, x_{1,2}, x_{2,2}]^G$ is a hypersurface, minimally generated by $\{x_{2,1}, N_1, x_{2,2}, N_2, \Delta^{p-1}(x_{1,1}x_{1,2})\}$. In particular, $\Delta^{p-1}(x_{1,1}x_{1,2})$ is an indecomposable transfer, so by Lemma 7, $\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G$ contains an indecomposable transfer covariant of degree 2. In both cases we get

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G) \geq \beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G).$$

On the other hand, by [9, Theorem 2.1], the top degree of $\mathbb{k}[V]/\mathbb{k}[V]^G \mathbb{k}[V]$ is bounded above by $m(p-1)$. We have already shown this number is at least $mp - \dim(V) + 1$. Therefore, by Proposition 6, we get

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G) \leq \beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G)$$

as required. □

Remark 9. The only reduced representation not covered by Theorem 1 is $V = V_2$. An explicit minimal set of generators of $\mathbb{k}[V_2, W]^G$ as a module over $\mathbb{k}[V_2]^G$ is given in [5], the result is

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V_2, W]) = n - 1.$$

This is the only situation in which the Noether number is seen to depend on W .

Remark 10. Suppose V is any reduced $\mathbb{k}G$ -module and $W = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r W_i$ is a decomposable $\mathbb{k}G$ -module. Then

$$\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G = (S(V^*) \otimes \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r W_i \right))^G = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r (S(V^*) \otimes W_i)^G.$$

So $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G) = \max\{\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W_i]^G) : i = 1, \dots, r\} = \beta(\mathbb{k}[V]^G)$ unless V is indecomposable of dimension 2, in which case we have

$$\beta(\mathbb{k}[V_2, W]^G) = \max\{\beta(\mathbb{k}[V_2, W_i]^G) : i = 1, \dots, r\} = \max\{\dim(W_i) - 1 : i = 1, \dots, r\}.$$

Thus, the results of this paper can be used to compute $\beta(\mathbb{k}[V, W]^G)$ for arbitrary $\mathbb{k}G$ -modules V and W .

Funding: The second author is supported by a grant from TÜBİTAK:119F181.

References

- [1] A. Broer and J. Chuai, Modules of covariants in modular invariant theory, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)* **100** (2010), no. 3, 705–735.
- [2] H. E. A. Campbell and I. P. Hughes, Vector invariants of $U_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$: A proof of a conjecture of Richman, *Adv. Math.* **126** (1997), no. 1, 1–20.
- [3] C. Chevalley, Invariants of finite groups generated by reflections, *Amer. J. Math.* **77** (1955), 778–782.
- [4] L. E. Dickson, *On Invariants and the Theory of Numbers*, Dover Publications, New York, 1966.
- [5] J. Elmer, Modular covariants of cyclic groups of order p , preprint (2019), <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.11024>.
- [6] P. Fleischmann, M. Sezer, R. J. Shank and C. F. Woodcock, The Noether numbers for cyclic groups of prime order, *Adv. Math.* **207** (2006), no. 1, 149–155.
- [7] M. Hochster and J. A. Eagon, Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci, *Amer. J. Math.* **93** (1971), 1020–1058.
- [8] I. Hughes and G. Kemper, Symmetric powers of modular representations, Hilbert series and degree bounds, *Comm. Algebra* **28** (2000), no. 4, 2059–2088.
- [9] M. Sezer and R. J. Shank, On the coinvariants of modular representations of cyclic groups of prime order, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **205** (2006), no. 1, 210–225.
- [10] G. C. Shephard and J. A. Todd, Finite unitary reflection groups, *Canad. J. Math.* **6** (1954), 274–304.